

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO (CASBN 44332)  
United States Attorney

BRIAN J. STRETCH (CASBN 163973)  
Chief, Criminal Division

JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI (ILSBN 6273413)  
Assistant United States Attorney

150 Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900  
San Jose, California 95113  
Telephone: (408) 535-5061  
Facsimile: (408) 535-5081  
E-Mail: joseph.fazioli@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	)	No. CR 07-00603 JW
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	UNITED STATES' SENTENCING
	)	MEMORANDUM AND MOTION TO
v.	)	REMAND DEFENDANT UPON
	)	SENTENCING
KENNETH VAN AALSBURG,	)	
	)	SENTENCING DATE:
Defendant.	)	September 8, 2008

The United States of America hereby submits its sentencing memorandum in the above-captioned case. On April 28, 2008, the defendant entered an open plea of guilty to an information charging him with a single count of Possession of Matters Containing Any Visual Depiction of a Minor Engaging in Sexually Explicit Conduct, in violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B). The Probation Department's calculation of the Guidelines results in an base offense level of 38 and criminal history category I. The Probation Department has determined that the defendant should not receive any credit for acceptance of responsibility due to his "denial of possessing any child pornography." PSR Addendum at 1. The guidelines sentencing range for imprisonment is 235 to 293

1 months. The Probation Department recommends that the Court impose a prison term of  
2 the statutory maximum of 120 months along with a \$10,000 fine and other enumerated  
3 conditions, including a 60 month term of supervised release. The United States has  
4 reviewed the final pre-sentence report (PSR) and has no objection to the factual  
5 information contained therein. The United States respectfully recommends that this Court  
6 impose a 120 month sentence along with the other enumerated conditions recommended  
7 by the Probation Department. The defendant's appalling history includes: (1) distributing  
8 as well as possessing child pornography; and (2) possessing thousands of images of child  
9 pornography, including depictions of the rape of infant children as well as sadistic and  
10 masochistic images of known victim children being forced to wear a dog collar. He  
11 should receive a custodial sentence of 120 months that would advance the interests put  
12 forward in 18 U.S.C. § 3553.

### 13 DISCUSSION

#### 14 **A. A 120 Month Sentence of Imprisonment for the Defendant is** 15 **Reasonable and Appropriate**

16 Defendant's criminal conduct merits a 120 month sentence. Specifically,  
17 defendant both possessed and distributed child pornography. At his change of plea, the  
18 defendant admitted to the government's proffer that defendant had, among other things:  
19 (1) knowingly possessed child pornography, including images of prepubescent minors  
20 and images portraying sadistic or masochistic conduct; and (2) used certain computers to  
21 commit or promote the commission of his offense. See Ex. 1 to Govt. Sentencing  
22 Memorandum, Defendant's Change of Plea Transcript at 12-16. Defendant has admitted  
23 to the Probation Department and law enforcement agents (and law enforcement has  
24 independently confirmed) that he had previously distributed as well as possessed child  
25 pornography. PSR ¶ 9, 15, PSR Recommendation at 2. Defendant has made several  
26 disturbing statements to the Probation Department, including that the "idea of an  
27 adolescent being curious about sex is appealing." PSR Recommendation at 2.

28 Sentencing the defendant to 120 months would comply with the purposes set forth

1 in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2), as required by 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). First, this sentence would  
2 reflect the seriousness of the defendant's offense, possessing child pornography, and  
3 provide adequate punishment for this offense. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(A). Defendant  
4 possessed over seven thousand images and ten videos of child pornography, including  
5 images depicting "an infant sitting in a baby seat with an unidentifiable adult male  
6 inserting his penis into the infant's mouth" and a known victim image of "a naked  
7 prepubescent female...wearing only a dog collar around her neck and lying on her back in  
8 the middle of the bed. The naked prepubescent female is using her arms to cover her eyes  
9 and appear to be screaming in pain as a naked adult male engages in sexual intercourse  
10 with her." PSR Recommendation at 2.

11 Nor was this a victimless crime. The defendant possessed numerous images of  
12 child pornography which contained known victim images. The families of the known  
13 victims have provided victim impact statements which correctly state that "[e]veryone  
14 who participates in this evil exchange, regardless of whether they directly abused children  
15 themselves, reveled in the images of suffering, or persuaded others to abuse children on  
16 their behalf (to provide images of the abuse) has a responsibility for the effects." PSR ¶  
17 18. Another statement from a victim's family member notes that "anyone who says they  
18 understand how you feel, and has never had a child close to them sexually assaulted, can't  
19 even imagine until it happens to you, you have no idea." PSR ¶ 19.

20 Second, a 120 month sentence would serve the goal of increasing the defendant's  
21 level of punishment over the duration of his criminal career, consequently affording  
22 adequate deterrence of further criminal conduct. Defendant's interest in child  
23 pornography was not a one-time event, but rather a pattern of aberrant behavior lasting  
24 nearly a three year period. Between 2002 to June 2004, defendant was sending, receiving  
25 and downloading child pornography over the internet. PSR ¶ 5. The defendant has  
26 admitted both to the probation department and law enforcement agents that he "sent and  
27 received child pornography for approximately 2 1/2 to 3 years." See PSR ¶ 15, 14.

28 Furthermore, a 120 month sentence would serve to protect the public from further

1 criminal conduct by the defendant. 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(C). The defendant's history  
2 raises concerns that he may be a sexual predator who poses a potential danger to the  
3 community. As detailed above, the defendant has admitted to finding the "idea of an  
4 adolescent being curious about sex...appealing." PSR Recommendation at 2. When the  
5 defendant made his initial appearance before the magistrate court, he also made an  
6 unsuccessful request to be allowed to have unsupervised contacts with a fourteen year old  
7 female client at the pilates studio he owns. Given the aforementioned factors, a 120  
8 month term of imprisonment is a reasonable and appropriate sanction for the defendant's  
9 conduct.

10 **B. The Defendant Should Be Remanded Into Custody After Sentencing**

11 The United States also recommends that the defendant be remanded into custody  
12 post-sentencing. The defendant has been convicted of possessing child pornography.  
13 The Bail Reform Act requires that a defendant convicted of a child pornography offense  
14 who is sentenced to prison be detained after sentencing. 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(2) states in  
15 relevant part that "the judicial officer shall order that a person who has been found guilty  
16 of an offense in a case described in subparagraph (A), (B), or (C) of subsection (f)(1) of  
17 section 3142 and is sentenced to a term of imprisonment...be detained..." In pleading  
18 guilty to a child pornography offense, defendant Van Aalsburg will have been found  
19 guilty of a "crime of violence" for purposes of 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A) and faces a  
20 sentence of imprisonment. Defendant Van Aalsburg is therefore subject to mandatory  
21 detention under 18 U.S.C. § 3143(b)(2). Crimes of violence are defined in the criminal  
22 code at 18 U.S.C. § 3156(a)(4). Specifically, Section 3156(a)(4)(C) states that any felony  
23 described in Chapter 110 (the chapter including child pornography offenses) is a crime of  
24 violence. The statute which defendant Van Aalsburg will be convicted of violating,  
25 Section 2252(a)(4)(B), is found within Chapter 110 and therefore justifies his remand into  
26 custody. See United States v. Mellies, 496 F. Supp.2d 930, 932-33 (M.D. Tenn.  
27 2007)(district court ordering detained defendant convicted of possession of child  
28 pornography); United States v. Brown, 368 F.3d 992, 993 (8<sup>th</sup> Cir. 2004)(per curiam)

(reversing the district court's ruling that defendant could self-surrender and holding that 3143(a)(2) requires a defendant convicted of receiving child pornography under Section 2252(a)(2) be taken into immediate custody), United States v. Fraser, 152 F.Supp.2d 800, 805 (E.D.PA 2001) (holding that Section 3143(b)(2), which pertains to the detention of a defendant pending appeal, applies to a defendant convicted of distributing and possessing child pornography under Section 2252).

### **CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the United States respectfully requests that the Court sentence the defendant to 120 months imprisonment, impose the other terms and conditions enumerated by the Probation Department, and remand the defendant into custody post-sentencing.

DATED: September 5, 2008

Respectfully submitted,

JOSEPH P. RUSSONIELLO  
United States Attorney

/s/  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JOSEPH A. FAZIOLI  
Assistant U.S. Attorney